Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/908,599 Applicant(s)

Akihiko Takabatake et al

Examiner

Y. Lee

Group Art Unit 2713



⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 8, 1997	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-13, 15, and 18-28	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 4-7, 11-13, 15, 18-20, and 24-26	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 08/908,599 Page 2

Art Unit: 2713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Claims 4-7, 11-13, 15, 18-20, and 24-26 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected embodiment, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 5.

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 08/908,599 Page 3

Art Unit: 2713

5. Claims 1-3, 21-23, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for the same reasons as set forth in paragraph 7 of the last office action, paper number 8, dated 4/15/97.

With respect to Applicant's argument on pages 4-7 of the Remarks that "[t]here is no embodiment in which the time difference is <u>only one</u> macro block line," it is respectfully submitted that page 46, lines 13-18, page 46, line 25 to page 47, line 4 of the Specification and Figure 12 of the Drawings explicitly state that the time difference Td is only 1 macro block line.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 7. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Auld (5,398,072) for the same reasons as set forth in the last office action, paper number 8, dated 4/15/97.

With respect to the newly amend limitation in claim 8, Figure 5 of Auld already discloses the picture P2 being different from a picture 1 comprised of the certain frame P1,F1.

Response to Arguments

Page 4

8. Applicant's arguments filed 8/8/97 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument on page 6 of the Remarks that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the distinction between B picture and I/P picture) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

With respect to Applicant's argument on pages 6 and 7 of the Remarks that "Auld does not disclose or suggest the start of decoding in response to reading of decoded pixel data for display", it is respectfully submitted Figure 5 of Auld already illustrates an example of such synchronization between the decoding means and the reading means for display.

Conclusion

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

Art Unit: 2713

(703) 308-5359 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-7584.

Y. LEE GROUP 2700

Y. Lee/yl

August 4, 1999